Frontiers in Science and Engineering Volume 6 Issue 8, 2026
ISSN: 2710-0588

A Review of Production Line Balancing and Optimization for Manufacturing Enterprises in the Context of Industry 4.0

Jiayu Wei[1,*], Yao He[1]

[1]School of Economics and Management, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, China

*Corresponding author email: wjy07y@163.com

Abstract

As global manufacturing moves toward intelligent, digital transformation, the concept of Industry 4.0 has created an enormous demand for highly flexible and responsive production systems. To meet this demand, manufacturing enterprises of all kinds are actively adopting advanced technologies such as the Internet of Things, digital twins, and artificial intelligence to optimize production processes and reduce resource waste. This paper provides a comprehensive and systematic review of the current state of research on production line balancing and its optimization strategies in the context of Industry 4.0, in order to fill the conceptual gap left by the unclear relationship between traditional line balancing theory and the dynamic requirements of modern intelligent manufacturing. The findings show that academic attention has gradually shifted from traditional mathematical programming and static heuristic algorithms toward dynamic planning theories driven by real-time data, human-machine collaboration, and cyber-physical systems. The intelligent manufacturing technologies that enterprises adopt at the strategic and tactical levels profoundly shape line balance and efficiency through distinct elements and mechanisms. This review helps managers and production engineers identify best practices in the field and thus provides effective guidance for building smart factories and making operational decisions.

Keywords

Industry 4.0; Production Line Balancing; Intelligent Manufacturing; Optimization Algorithms; Digital Twin; Strategy and Tactics.

1. Introduction

Manufacturing today faces unprecedented challenges and opportunities. As consumer demand shifts toward deep personalization and mass customization, the traditional manufacturing model built on large-batch production of a single variety can no longer keep pace with rapid market changes [1]. Existing studies confirm that rigid, inflexible line layouts and the presence of bottleneck operations are among the key factors behind delayed responses, idle resources, and excess capacity [2]. Against this backdrop, with the deepening implementation of Germany's "Industry 4.0" and the "Made in China 2025" strategies, a new industrial revolution characterized by digitization, networking, and intelligence is rapidly sweeping the globe [3]. At the same time, many manufacturing enterprises are actively seeking new strategies for production line balancing and optimization in order to maintain a competitive advantage in a complex market environment.

Although research on intelligent manufacturing systems and on production line balancing optimization has grown markedly in recent years, the specific mechanisms and boundaries of the two concepts once combined remain insufficiently clear. This ambiguity makes it hard for managers to identify which intelligent initiatives are most likely to deliver substantial

ISSN: 2710-0588

improvements in line efficiency. Therefore, this study proposes the following research questions: Which intelligent manufacturing technologies and strategies can effectively influence a company's assembly line balancing? Do these initiatives promote or hinder the enhancement of system efficiency in dynamic production environments? Answering these questions requires a systematic analysis and synthesis of existing research in this field.

We find that few systematic reviews have classified Industry 4.0 production line optimization initiatives into strategic and tactical dimensions according to their planning scope. To fill this gap, this study examines how enterprises' intelligent initiatives affect production line balancing and optimization at both the strategic and the tactical level. The aim is to map the relationship between the two in a comprehensive and systematic way, help identify promising directions for future research, and provide theoretical support for the implementation of intelligent manufacturing.

2. Basic Concepts

2.1. Industry 4.0 and Intelligent Manufacturing

Existing studies often define Industry 4.0 or intelligent manufacturing narrowly, as an automation upgrade between the Manufacturing Execution System (MES) and the underlying control equipment [4]. In a broader sense, however, its core lies in achieving end-to-end digital integration from product design to shop-floor production. Building on the pioneering research of Jiang [5] and colleagues on the digital twin workshop, we understand it as a new mode of future workshop operation in which cyber-physical fusion theory is used to achieve a two-way, faithful mapping and real-time interaction between the physical space of the workshop and its virtual information space. This core concept ensures that the production system can respond to current market demand in real time, embedding intelligent, data-driven principles deep into the enterprise's production strategy.

2.2. The Production Line Balancing Problem

The production line balancing problem has a long history of development in academia. In the narrow sense, it refers to assigning a set of assembly tasks with precedence relations to a number of workstations so that the number of workstations, or the idle time, is minimized. Guo and Pang [] pointed out that line balancing bears directly on the operational efficiency and production costs of manufacturing enterprises. In early optimization practice, traditional linear programming and heuristic algorithms performed well in simple scenarios, but as production environments became more complex and dynamic, these methods gradually revealed limitations such as long computation times and poor consistency of results. To break through this bottleneck, researchers have in recent years shifted their focus toward modern data analytics. From the perspective of modern intelligent manufacturing, line balancing not only covers complex mixed-model assembly lines but also introduces more sophisticated multi-objective constraints such as energy consumption control and overall equipment effectiveness. Yuan et al. [7]focused on U-shaped mixed-model assembly lines, comprehensively considering both the average load balance between workstations and the instantaneous load equilibrium within workstations. They successfully resolved the dimensionality and compatibility issues among multiple objective functions by introducing the 'goal method'. Li and Wang [8] further combined the assembly line balancing rate with the smoothness index and built an optimization model that seeks the fewest workstations, the highest balancing rate, and the smallest smoothness index, offering a multi-dimensional perspective for evaluating the load uniformity of assembly lines.

Production line balancing research in the context of Industry 4.0 has thus evolved from traditional single-objective models into multi-objective intelligent decision-making systems. By introducing big data, machine learning, and adaptive optimization algorithms, it has made notable breakthroughs in handling complex constraints, balancing workloads, and scheduling resources dynamically, providing all-around theoretical support for the efficient operation of modern manufacturing.

3. Theoretical and Methodological Framework

This paper systematically classifies and summarizes the theoretical methods and algorithmic frameworks on which enterprises rely to implement intelligent production line balancing. In traditional line balancing research, mathematical programming (such as integer programming and dynamic programming) is the most fundamental theoretical perspective. Although such methods can deliver optimal solutions, they often run into the curse of dimensionality when confronted with the large-scale mixed-model assembly and dynamically changing problems typical of Industry 4.0 environments.

In recent years, metaheuristic algorithms have found extremely wide application, and researchers rely heavily on heuristic and metaheuristic approaches. For example, Bai [9] developed a dual-population genetic algorithm to optimize an aircraft final assembly line, significantly shortening the cycle time while improving the balancing rate and the smoothness index. Liao [10] used a simulated annealing algorithm to optimize UAV flight paths for power tower inspection, aiming to minimize inspection distance and time and thereby improve operational efficiency.

Beyond classical optimization algorithms, Industry 4.0 has given rise to entirely new theoretical frameworks based on digital twins and deep reinforcement learning. Scholars have begun to adopt digital twin evolution theory as a conceptual foundation, demonstrating the value of coupling virtual simulation with real-time data acquisition. Guerrero et al. [11] used discrete-event simulation to model a highly variable automotive wire harness production line. By simulating different scenarios, they identified production bottlenecks; specifically, the quality inspection and packaging processes were overloaded, while the utilization rate of the automatic cutting machine was insufficient. The research demonstrates that simulation-based approaches provide robust computational support for understanding and optimizing complex production systems.

4. The Impact of Industry 4.0 on Production Line Balancing and Optimization Strategies

According to the level of intelligent manufacturing planning, we divide the factors through which Industry 4.0 acts on production line balancing into two categories. The first category concerns the overall system architecture and digital twin enablement from a strategic perspective; the second focuses on the tactical and operational schemes typically associated with day-to-day production scheduling.

4.1. Strategic Level

At the strategic planning level, intelligent manufacturing initiatives are chiefly reflected in how enterprises redefine the value and operating model of their production lines through fundamental design innovation and cross-industry supply chain collaboration.

Yao et al. [12] noted that modern manufacturing has moved from traditional mass production and push-pull lean-agile manufacturing toward a long-tail production stage that serves extremely personalized demand. This leap depends heavily on the construction of Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) and the spread of additive manufacturing technologies such as 3D printing, which make multi-variety, small-batch intelligent customization possible. To adapt to the new manufacturing paradigm, Jing [13] stressed that the digital transformation of industry

ISSN: 2710-0588

is the only viable route to stronger competitiveness. Enterprises must not only accelerate the digital upgrading of their infrastructure, but also build a digital management system that covers the whole life cycle of industrial products, from R&D and production through to retirement, and establish digital supply chain networks, fundamentally reshaping their operations and business models [13]. As the physical carrier of intelligent transformation, the smart factory must ground its strategic planning in the deep integration of the IoT, big data, and artificial intelligence. By deploying highly automated equipment architectures and achieving network-wide data sharing, it endows enterprises with the extreme production flexibility needed to cope with market shifts [14].

In summary, the Industry 4.0-driven transformation of manufacturing is a systemic undertaking that runs from top-level strategic restructuring down to tactical implementation on the ground. At the strategic level, the manufacturing paradigm is leaping toward personalized long-tail production, with operating models reshaped through CPS and whole life-cycle digital management; at the tactical level, big data, machine learning, and adaptive hybrid optimization algorithms enable dynamic assembly line balancing and energy consumption control, complemented by intelligent online inspection and UAV path optimization, which together markedly enhance the robustness and responsiveness of production systems.

4.2. Tactical Level

A large body of existing research focuses on how tactical-level technologies affect line balancing. The most common approach demonstrates tactical value through IoT data feedback mechanisms and human-machine collaboration. Addressing the micro-level pain points common on traditional lines, such as aging equipment, non-standardized processes, and poor logistics coordination, Ren et al. [15] proposed a joint improvement scheme based on the Industry 4.0 framework. Through process reengineering and the full deployment of intelligent equipment, supported by IoT-based dynamic scheduling optimization, enterprises can greatly improve the allocation efficiency and intelligence of the elements inside a production line at the tactical level. Meanwhile, as production takt times shorten and electronic components move toward high density, high frequency, and high speed, the traditional offline sampling inspection model has become a process bottleneck. Deng [16] pointed out that, to meet the high standards of intelligent manufacturing, reliability testing must shift toward multi-condition simulation, big data evaluation, and intelligent online inspection. This innovation upgrades quality control from after-the-fact sampling to real-time in-process intervention, eliminating potential hazards at the source and providing indispensable technical support for the stable operation of the whole industrial system.

These empirical and algorithmic studies show that by implementing intelligent schemes at both the strategic and the tactical level, enterprises can effectively promote the efficient operation of their production lines, further confirming the positive relationship between Industry 4.0 technologies and production performance indicators such as on-time order delivery and energy efficiency.

5. Conclusion

Building on prior research, and against the macro background of China's vigorous promotion of "Made in China 2025" and of industrial transformation and upgrading, this paper has examined in depth, from the dual dimensions of strategy and tactics, how core intelligent manufacturing technologies affect production line balancing and optimization in manufacturing enterprises.

The literature shows that theoretical research on dynamic production line balancing has drawn close attention from the Chinese academic community. Throughout the development of the field, many scholars have carried out in-depth studies grounded in classical operations research and optimization algorithms. However, where concrete Industry 4.0 applications are concerned (such as IoT data feedback and digital twin-driven human-machine collaboration), existing work concentrates mostly on improving control algorithms and designing platform architectures, while comprehensive empirical research on how these intelligent strategies, once actually implemented, affect the long-term balance of production systems remains relatively scarce. On this basis, this study has explicitly analyzed the specific elements and mechanisms through which intelligent technologies affect line operating efficiency, thereby enriching the existing body of theory on intelligent manufacturing and operations management.

6. Research Limitations and Future Prospects

6.1. Research Limitations

Although production line optimization in the context of intelligent manufacturing has attracted wide scholarly attention, research in this field still has several limitations. First, most empirical studies concentrate on large, well-resourced manufacturers, while the practice of intelligent line upgrading in small and medium-sized enterprises under resource constraints is under-studied. Second, existing studies mostly validate their algorithms on simulation data, which makes it hard to capture the dynamic, evolutionary effects that intelligent technologies exert on production systems in real, long-term industrial use. In addition, some of the literature overlooks the high implementation cost of processing complex heterogeneous data. Finally, although many studies stress the positive effects of digital twins and AI, potential negative effects, such as safety risks in human-machine collaboration and data silos, receive insufficient attention.

6.2. Future Prospects

Looking ahead, the further development of production line balancing and optimization will rely heavily on interdisciplinary integration and methodological innovation. Cross-disciplinary research that combines operations research, computer science, and industrial psychology (for example, ergonomics) will be an important frontier. Longitudinal research designs that combine edge computing, reinforcement learning, and industrial big data to track the long-term evolution of assembly lines will provide a scientific basis for adjusting tactics dynamically. In addition, how the human-centric philosophy can be embedded in the modeling of next-generation human-robot collaborative assembly lines is a highly promising direction. As China's manufacturing industry advances toward high-end, intelligent, and green development, research on intelligent production line optimization will contribute substantially to the high-quality development of Chinese manufacturing.

References

[1] Zhang, M., & Qi, M. (2025). Research on the four-dimensional new-type organizational element matching model for the digital transformation of traditional manufacturing enterprises. Technology and Innovation Management, 46(5), 493–508. https://doi.org/10.14090/j.cnki.jscx.2025.0501.

[2] Yang, L. (2026). Lean automated production improvement based on production line balancing. In Jiangxi Society of Automotive Engineering (Ed.), Proceedings of the Academic Symposium on Engineering Technology Innovation and Development (Volume I) (pp. 1486–1489). https://doi.org/10.26914/c.cnkihy.2026.011612

[3] Zhou, W., & Ding, C. (2025). Evaluation of the German government's Industry 4.0 financing policy framework and its implications for China's intelligent manufacturing transformation. Shenzhen Social Sciences, 8(5), 104–115. https://doi.org/10.20183/j.cnki.szshkx.20250826.002

ISSN: 2710-0588

[4] Cheng, J. (2025, May 16). Key technologies and applications of intelligent manufacturing in the context of Industry 4.0. Anhui Science and Technology News, p. 016. https://doi.org/10.27992/n.cnki.nahkj.2025.000249

[5] Jiang, F., & Luo, Y. (2026, March 9). Research on the application effectiveness of digital twin technology in intelligent manufacturing workshops. Shanxi Science and Technology News, p. A06.

[6] Guo, Z., & Pang, R. (2025). Research on data analysis and optimization models for production line balancing improvement. China Plant Engineering, (13), 138–140.

[7] Yuan, P., Liu, J., Liu, C., & et al. (2022). Research on multi-objective optimization methods for the mixed-model assembly line balancing problem. Operations Research and Management Science, 31(10), 26–32.

[8] Li, J., & Wang, W. (2024). A solution model for the multi-objective assembly line balancing problem based on a hybrid optimization algorithm. China New Technologies and Products, (1), 142–145. https://doi.org/10.13612/j.cnki.cntp.2024.01.044

[9] Bai, Y. (2026). Research on balancing optimization of the final assembly line in an aircraft manufacturing company. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 3221(1), 012065. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/3221/1/012065

[10] Liao, Y. (2024). Research on UAV inspection path optimization based on the simulated annealing algorithm. Power and Energy, 45(3), 320–323.

[11] Guerrero, R., Serrano-Hernandez, A., Pascual, J., & et al. (2022). Simulation model for wire harness design in the car production line optimization using the SimPy Library. Sustainability, 14(12), 7212. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14127212

[12] Yao, X., Zhang, J., Tao, T., & et al. (2018). Transformation and upgrading of the manufacturing industry from lean-agile manufacturing to Industry 4.0 long-tail production. Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, 24(10), 2377–2387. https://doi.org/10.13196/j.cims.2018.10.001

[13] Jing, X. (2025). Research on innovation strategies for the digital transformation of industrial sectors in the context of Industry 4.0. Modern Industrial Economy and Informationization, 15(9), 89–91. https://doi.org/10.16525/j.cnki.14-1362/n.2025.09.029

[14] Wang, H., Zhu, Z., & Feng, J. (2025). Research on the construction of smart factories in the context of Industry 4.0. Industrial Control Computer, 38(11), 157–159.

[15] Ren, H., Fan, X., & Yu, D. (2026). Research on intelligent production line optimization based on the Industry 4.0 framework. Modern Industrial Economy and Informationization, 16(4), 79–81. https://doi.org/10.16525/j.cnki.14-1362/n.2026.04.023

[16] Deng, Y. (2026). Innovation in reliability inspection and testing technology for electronic components oriented toward Industry 4.0. China Brand and Anti-counterfeiting, (6), 78–80.